

APPENDIX A (Part 1) - RECOMMENDED MINOR CHANGES SUGGESTED BY THE COUNCIL¹

(¹ These changes are only subject to a recommendation because the Council cannot change the document even, to correct minor errors without a recommendation).

Schedule of Minor Changes – Post Publication Stage The Enfield – Proposed Submission Core Strategy



Re-published with referencing 13.04.2010

Minor Changes – Justification

In consideration of the representations received during the Publication stage of the Enfield Plan – Pre-submission Core Strategy the Council is minded to put forward the following minor changes for consideration by the Planning Inspector.

Minor changes represent one of more of the following:

- points of clarity
- factual corrections
- consistency
- typographical errors
- improved referencing / signposting

No direct changes have been made to the Pre-submission Core strategy as published on the 14th December 2009. The Council's intention is to submit this schedule to the Secretary of State alongside the following submission documents for the Inspector's consideration.

Submission Documents

- Pre-Submission Core Strategy
- Errata: Appendix 7: Illustrative Maps
- Pre-Submission Core Strategy Executive Summary
- Pre-Submission Sustainability Appraisal Report
- Pre-submission Consultation Statement
- Post-publication Consultation Statement – Main Issues Report
- Self Assessment of Soundness
- Schedule of Minor Changes – Post-Publication Stage
- Statement of Availability
- Infrastructure Delivery Plan

Schedule of Minor Changes

Text in Bold Print and underline Proposed insertion
~~Text with a strikethrough~~ Proposed deletion

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC 1	General referencing	English Heritage	'built heritage' should be amended to 'built heritage including its archaeology... will continue to be protected and enhanced.	Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional wording of <u>including its archaeology...</u> could be inserted.	N	N
PMC 2	Pg 24 Key Issues	Thames Water	5th bullet point should include a specific reference to infrastructure. Specifically the following is suggested: - 'Promoting the provision of key services and infrastructure to support existing and future Communities.	Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 'Promoting the provision of key services and infrastructure to support existing and future Communities. 	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC3	Pg 28 Spatial Vision	Environment Agency	<p>Recommend that the last paragraph is amended to read</p> <p>the following: 'Transformational change at Meridian Water will include new housing and employment opportunities together with transport, community and green infrastructure vital to support sustainable communities, and will stimulate the regeneration of surrounding communities including Edmonton and Northumberland Park in nearby Haringey.'</p>	<p>Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional wording and green infrastructure....</p>	N	N
PMC4	Spatial Strategy for Planning	NHS HUDU	The source of the 10,000 new homes	Clarification: of housing figures for consistency:	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
	Prosperity		<p>projection should be provided. The new housing target would total 11,200 additional dwellings over a 20 year period between 2011 and 2031. Core Policy 2 refers to the provision of 11,000 new homes over a 15 year period 2010/11 to 2024/25. The housing growth figure should be clarified.</p> <p>It is important to agree housing and population projections as these form the basis of forecasting future social infrastructure needs.</p>	<p>The Borough will plan for an increase in population from an estimated 285,100 in 2006 to approximately 309,500 by 2026. Over this period close to 11,000 over 10,000 new homes are predicted planned to be built and the number of jobs will increase by up 6,000.</p>		
PMC5	Spatial Strategy for Prosperity	Enfield Council	<p>Council would wish to amend text to clarify intention, by deleting the words "up to" and replacing with "a minimum of".</p>	<p>Clarification: of employment figures for consistency: The Borough will plan for an increase in population from an estimated 285,100 in 2006 to approximately 309,500 by 2026.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p>Over this period close to 11,000 over 10,000 new homes are predicted planned to be built and the number of jobs will increase by a minimum of up 6,000.</p>		
<p>PMC6</p>	<p>Spatial Strategy North Circular area and New Southgate</p>	<p>NHS HUDU</p>	<p>Should mention the opportunity for improved health facilities to address cross-boundary needs with Barnet.</p>	<p>Clarification: in first paragraph under "Implementation" to address cross borough needs:</p> <p>Overall this strategy represents a proactive and demanding agenda to help shape the future of the Borough. The Council and its partners will work together to coordinate and deliver it through a place shaping approach and a shared understanding of priorities and range of solutions needed to improve the well being and quality</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>N</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				of life of all Enfield's communities, particularly those who are most disadvantaged. This will ensure that good social, utilities and transport infrastructure is provided, retained, improved or expanded where needed, <u>taking account of cross borough opportunities.</u>		
PMC7	Spatial Strategy Implementation	NHS HUDU	We suggest that reference is made to the development management process (and a forthcoming Development Management Document) to achieve the plan priorities, secure good design and mitigate against negative impacts, and to the role of planning obligations to secure financial contributions towards infrastructure requirements.	<p>Clarification: Council would consider taking opportunity to sign-post to relevant DPDs.</p> <p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 2:</p> <p><u>The Council will bring forward a series of documents to provide detailed policy and supplementary guidance for implementation, including a Development Management Document, Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy and the Enfield Design Guide.</u></p>	N	N
PMC8	Paragraph 5.10	Enfield Council	Paragraph should read as 70 sites being	Correction: 5.10 A large site within the housing	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			assessed not 68.	trajectory is defined as being 0.5ha in area or greater. A small site is less than 0.5ha in area. For the housing trajectory a total of 68 70 large and small sites were assessed to place them most accurately in the trajectory. Within small sites, only those yielding 10+ dwellings were contacted.		
PMC9	Core Policy 3 AFFORDABLE HOUSING	National Grid Property Holdings	Core Policy 3 should refer explicitly to the GLA's affordable housing toolkit as an appropriate form of viability assessment. This is also consistent with Core Policy 46. To ensure the DPD is effective and consistent with national policy the following amended wording to Core Policy 3 is proposed: '...as well as the relative importance of other planning priorities and	Clarification: Recommend adding this in at paragraph. 5.24 under Implementation. 5.24 The Development Management DPD will set out details on the mechanisms for providing affordable housing and for determining applications. <u>In the interim the Council will continue to use the GLA's affordable housing toolkit to calculate the viability of providing affordable housing and other planning contributions and abnormal costs associated with developing specific sites will be taken into account.</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>obligations on the site. The GLA's affordable housing toolkit will be used to calculate the viability of providing affordable housing and other planning contributions and abnormal costs associated with developing specific sites will be taken into account.'</p>			
PMC10	<p>New Paragraph Core Policy 8 EDUCATION</p>	<p>Enfield Council</p>	<p>The Council would wish to update supporting under the Monitoring and Targets sub-section by way of inserting a new paragraph before paragraph 5.72.</p>	<p>New Paragraph before 5.72 <u>In common with many other education authorities in London, Enfield subscribes to the school roll projections service of the Greater London Authority (GLA). Annual projections are prepared on the basis on the January Schools Census; in preparing these projections, the GLA receives its basic population data from the Office for National</u></p>	<p>N</p>	<p>N</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p><u>Statistics (ONS) and information about housing developments from the Council. Most recent projections¹ have reflected not only the effects of increased housing and migration, but also a sharp and sustained increase in birth rates across London, with comparatively higher levels of growth in Outer London and specifically in Enfield. The Council is now considering the impact of the 2010 projections on Pupil Places Planning. Further work is underway to consider options for meeting increased demand which responds to spatial variations in need across the borough. This will include the more efficient use of existing education sites and playing fields. New sites for schools will be set out in the Site Schedule DPD.</u></p>		

¹ The projection is based on the latest reception forecast from the GLA informed by the 2010 January Schools Census and the 2009 population projections (in turn based on the latest actual birth data – for 2008).

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC11	Paragraph 5.96 EMERGENCY AND ESSENTIAL SERVICES	CgMs Consulting on behalf of Metropolitan Police Service	The MPA recommends amending the wording to a) and b) as follows: a) delivering several police facilities that enable better public access to the police provision for neighbourhood policing teams which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible. Neighbourhood police centres without a contact point do not have to be in accessible areas, and b) a new custody centre.	Clarification: 5.96 In 2007, the Metropolitan Police Authority produced an Asset Management Plan (AMP) (33) for Enfield which looks at the existing estate and local requirements for modern day policing. The AMP identifies that future operational needs will focus on a) delivering several police <u>facilities</u> shops with provision for Safer Neighbourhood Teams (34) which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible, and b) a new custody suite.	N	N
PMC12	Core Policy 11 Para 5.113 RECREATION, LEISURE, CULTURE AND ARTS	Lee Valley Regional Park	5.113 should be amended to refer to the strategic importance of Picketts Lock as a major leisure, sporting and entertainment node.	Clarification: Additional wording to Paragraph 5.113 accepted to add clarity. Within Enfield, the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority is investigating the potential of additional sports development at Pickett's Lock to complement the	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p>existing Lee Valley Athletics Centre, camping and Caravan site, golf centre and golf course. Current regional and local guidance also identifies Pickett's Lock as an area for future development and investment. The draft vision for the Upper Lee Valley, the existing Lee Valley Park Plan and 'Enriching Enfield' -Enfield's Leisure and Strategy 2005 - 2008 all identify Pickett's Lock as an area for the development of additional sports and recreation facilities of <u>strategic importance</u>.</p>		
PMC13	Core Policy 12 TOURISM	British Waterways	5 th Bullet Point: the policy states that the Council will continue to work with the LVRPA to identify the priority mix of additional recreation and leisure facilities in the east of the borough, particularly at Ponders End, Pickett's Lock and Meridian Water. British	<p>Consistency: suggest minor change.</p> <p>Continuing to work with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority, to help develop its Park Development Framework, <u>and with other partners such as British Waterways, and Thames Water</u> to identify the priority mix of additional recreation and leisure</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			Waterways has land ownership we should be recognised as a key stakeholder who are keen to continue working with the Council.	facilities in the east of the Borough, particularly at Ponders End, Pickett's Lock and Meridian Water, and encourage more visitors to the Lee Valley Regional Park.		
PMC14	Section 6.1 Economic Prosperity	Enfield Council	The Council wishes to provide a factual an update within section 6.1: Promoting Economic Prosperity. Clarifications are intended to provide additional background material and detail, which could not be included in the Submission Core Strategy document, but, which in light of new government guidance and emerging work on Enfield's Economic Assessment provide additional clarification as to the way the employment figures	Clarification: Council would consider the following new paragraphs be inserted above existing paragraph 6.8: <u>6.8A The Council is taking a proactive approach to investment and sustainable job growth and will plan to exceed the minimum target growth set out in Core Policy 13. To achieve this the Council is developing further work in understanding the local economy and aligning this knowledge to the strategic growth areas and place shaping priority areas. An Economic Assessment is</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>were presented in the Pre-Submission Core Strategy.</p>	<p><u>forthcoming and this in turn will inform an Enterprise Framework/Inward Investment Strategy to be adopted later in 2010. This work will inform the preparation of Area Action Plans, Masterplans and a review of the Core Strategy where appropriate.</u></p>		
<p>PMC15</p>	<p>Core Policy 13 and supporting Paragraphs PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY</p>	<p>Enfield Council</p>	<p>Additional clarity is suggested by the Council to ensure the intention of Core Policy reflects the long term economic intention of the Core Strategy approach. The approach of the Policy is to secure a minimum of at least 6,000 new jobs.</p>	<p>Clarification: Council suggest inserting additional text to Core Policy and supporting paragraphs:</p> <p>PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY CORE POLICY 13</p> <p>The Council will protect and improve Enfield's employment offer helping to facilitate the creation of <u>a minimum of</u> 6,000 new jobs from 2010-2026, focusing new growth in the Upper Lee Valley and Enfield's town centres. Approximately <u>a</u></p>	<p>N</p>	<p>N</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?								
				<p>minimum of 4,000 new jobs are expected to be created in the Upper Lee Valley with the remainder concentrated in Enfield's main town centres and in other place shaping priority areas.</p> <p>Table 6.1 Spatial Distribution of New Jobs</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1218 807 1744 1070"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1218 807 1592 842"></td> <td data-bbox="1592 807 1744 842"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1218 842 1592 906">Upper Lee Valley</td> <td data-bbox="1592 842 1744 906">4,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1218 906 1592 1002">Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas</td> <td data-bbox="1592 906 1744 1002">2,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1218 1002 1592 1070">Borough total</td> <td data-bbox="1592 1002 1744 1070"><u>At least 6,000</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>New paragraph after 6.16 under Implementation</p> <p><u>The Council is to undertake an economic assessment during</u></p>			Upper Lee Valley	4,000	Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas	2,000	Borough total	<u>At least 6,000</u>		
Upper Lee Valley	4,000													
Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas	2,000													
Borough total	<u>At least 6,000</u>													

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p><u>2010 and this piece of work will help bring forward an Enterprise Framework/Inward Investment Strategy.</u></p> <p>Amend paragraph 6.19 under Monitoring and Targets</p> <p>6.19 New jobs created in the Borough will be monitored to achieve <u>a minimum</u> target of 6,000 new jobs by 2026, of which <u>at least</u> 4,000 will be located in the Upper Lee Valley.</p>		
PMC16	Paragraph 6.9 Footnote 38 PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY	Enfield Council	The Council would wish to provide a correction to the omission of footnote 38 is required to provide the full reference.	<p>Correction: footnote 38</p> <p><u>(38) The Working Paper No. 20 employment projections by sector and borough, Source GLA Economic, February 2007.</u></p>	N	N
PMC17	Paragraph 6.24 SAFEGUARDING STRATEGIC INDUSTRIAL LOCATIONS	Enfield Council	Council would consider additional signposting to Appendix 7 within Paragraph 6.24.	<p>Amendment: paragraph 6.24 under Justification.</p> <p>6.24 The Borough has undergone some changes since the adoption of the UDP. The boundaries of the</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p>former Preferred Industrial Areas (PIAs), as identified in the UDP, have therefore been reviewed in partnership with the GLA in order to identify and safeguard industrial land which is of strategic importance to London and is appropriate to be designated as Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL) (40). <u>The revised designations are illustrated in Map Series 1, Appendix 7.</u> Following this review, the extent of the former PIAs remains largely unaltered. However, the review has identified scope to release land for mixed use development in a limited number of former PIAs without compromising the overall range and quality of employment land available in the Borough. This has the potential to meet other objectives, such as the regeneration of the Council's place shaping priority areas in particular – Ponders End and Meridian Water.</p>		
PMC18	6.48 on page 76 TOWN CENTRES	The Theatres Trust	First word in brackets in last sentence should	Typographical Correction: Replace words "if" with of	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			read 'if' not 'of'.			
PMC19	Paragraph 7.34 DELIVERING SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT	British Waterways	More emphasis could be given to the transportation of waste by water here, including the use of Ash Wharf at Edmonton Eco Park, which is not currently utilized but presents an excellent opportunity for waterborne transport.	<p>Clarification: Insertion – New sentence at the end of paragraph 7.34:</p> <p>7.34 Self-sufficiency aims to increase the responsibility communities take for their waste, reducing the distance it travels and so the associated congestion and vehicle emissions. This is supported in the London Plan, which aims to increase the self-sufficiency of London through the borough-level apportionment. Inevitably waste will have to travel some distance from its source to its treatment facility, and occasionally a significant distance where specialised facilities are needed (e.g. for hazardous waste). A key aim should therefore be to minimise the impacts of this through the use of more sustainable alternatives to road-based transport.</p> <p><u>Opportunities such as utilizing Ash Wharf at Edmonton Eco Park for waterborne transportations should be explored.</u></p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC20	Core Policy 25 PEDESTRIANS AND CYCLISTS	Sustrans	Supports the implementation of greenways. However, the policy should make explicit reference to greenways.	Clarification: Insertion to Core Policy 25 final paragraph: Priority will be given to schemes that overcome community severance, particularly those linking communities on either side of the West Anglia Main Line, routes to schools, town centres, and recreational resources including greenways , and the Lee Valley Regional Park.	N	N
PMC21	Core Policy 26 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	British Waterways	This policy could include a point promoting better way finding between transport hubs and the waterways.	Clarification: Insertion to Core Policy 26 - of bullet 4: Improving public transport interchanges to facilitate better wayfinding , integration between modes including provision for taxis, water based transportation and cycle parking and storage, particularly at Enfield Town, Edmonton Green, Ponders End, New Southgate and Southgate Circus;	N	N
PMC22	Core Policy 28:	British	SUDs are not the only	Clarification:	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
	MANAGING FLOOD RISK THROUGH DEVELOPMENT	Waterways	way to manage flood risk through development. There should be a policy also of encouraging Green Roofs and Green Walls in new builds. Green Roofs on flat-roofed buildings provide natural insulation, rainwater attenuation and cooling, and are visually attractive and innovative. Green walls provide many of the same benefits and have been shown to provide considerable temperature regulation. They also contribute greatly to on-site biodiversity also, by providing nesting and foraging opportunities for birds and invertebrates.	Glossary definition of SUDS to be expanded to clarify that SUDS are <u>one of a number of measures to manage flood risk.</u>		
PMC23	Core Policy 29 FLOOD	Environment Agency	We support this policy and recommend a slight	Clarification: Insertion of new text: <u>river</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
	MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE		amendment to the following sentence: 'Development behind existing defences will be expected to reduce residual flood risk and works to open spaces within the floodplain or adjacent to watercourses elsewhere should explore opportunities for floodwater storage, river restoration and wetland creation.	restoration and wetland creation in paragraph 3 of Core Policy 29 accepted.		
PMC24	Core Policy 30 MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF THE BUILT AND OPEN ENVIRONMENT	Environment Agency	We recommend reference is made to the restoration of the Blue Ribbon Network by amending the following: 'The Council will: Adopt borough-wide standards and guidance to design quality, including: - maximising,	Clarification: Insertion of new text restoration.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			restoration, access to and visibility of the blue ribbon network and the Borough's green assets'			
PMC25	Paragraph 8.63 GREEN BELT AND COUNTRYSIDE	Environment Agency	When developing a project for a specific reason i.e. regional sport and recreational, it's important to build in other benefits which may not be the core reason for developing the project i.e. biodiversity. As a result we recommend this paragraph is amended as follows: ' At Picketts Lock, there is an opportunity for improvements to the regional sports and, recreational and biodiversity offer,	Clarification: Insertion of <u>and biodiversity offer</u> accepted for additional clarity.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			as reflected in the Upper Lee Valley Vision, the emerging Lee Valley Regional Park Development Framework.'			
PMC26	Core Policy 34 PARKS, PLAYING FIELDS AND OTHER OPEN SPACES.	The Wildlife Trust	Consider adding a new point re managing positively for biodiversity:	Clarification: to Core Policy 36 Biodiversity to recognise the value of these spaces. CORE POLICY 36 BIODIVERSITY The Council will seek to protect, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests within the Borough, <u>including parks, playing fields and other sports spaces</u> , green corridors, waterways, sites, habitats and species identified at the national, London or local level as being of importance for nature conservation by:.....	N	N
PMC27	Core Policy 35 LEE VALLEY	The Wildlife	This should highlight	Clarification:		

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
	REGIONAL PARK AND WATERWAYS	Trust	<p>more strongly the international significance of the Lee Valley to biodiversity and its designation as a Special Protection Area (SPA). The Lee Valley is one of only two SPAs in the London area.</p> <p>It is the most important feature of the Regional Park. Core Policy 35 should have at least one key point which acknowledges this importance and gives its support to biodiversity management of the park.</p>	<p>Suggest amendments to Core Policy 36 Biodiversity, as opposed to Core Policy 35, to recognise the European Special Protection Area (SPA) designation of Lee Valley.</p> <p>CORE POLICY 36</p> <p>The Council will seek to protect, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests within the Borough, including parks, playing fields and other sports spaces, green corridors, waterways, sites, habitats and species identified at the European, national, London or local level as being of importance for nature conservation by:.....</p>		
PMC28	Paragraph 8.96 LEE VALLEY REGIONAL PARK AND WATERWAYS	Environment Agency	Timescales for the Lee Valley Regional Park framework needs	Amendment: Amend text to provide update.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			to be updated as consultation has only just begun.	8.69 The Lee Valley Regional Park Authority is preparing a new Park Development Framework to set out the Authority's vision, proposals and policies for the future management of the Park for the next 5-10 years. Within the emerging Park Development Framework, Picketts Lock is identified as one of the main destinations in the Park with the potential for multiple uses for sport, recreation, accommodation and biodiversity. The forthcoming Park Development Framework is expected to be published in late 2009 and will inform the preparation of the Central Leaside Area Action Plan and future reviews of the Core Strategy.		
PMC29	Paragraph 8.97	Environment Agency	Please note that the London Rivers Action Plan is not a definitive list of all the river	Amendment final sentence to provide clarity: The London Rivers Action Plan	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			restoration projects but merely one that highlights current known potential projects. This should be updated as new potential projects or developments come forward.	identifies a series of current and known river restoration projects in Enfield, to inform decisions on priority projects to be funded.		
PMC30	Monitoring & Targets BIODIVERSITY Paragraphs 8.110, 8.111	Environment Agency	We recommend that another paragraph is added to paragraphs 8.110 and 8.111. This target could be in line with NI197 Biodiversity which requires the Local Authority to increase the percentage of SINC's and the number in Positive Conservation Management. In addition we recommend another	Clarification: The Council is not in a position to add an additional target of river restoration at this stage as this is to be considered through the forthcoming Biodiversity Action Plan. However the Council is minded to clarify paragraph 8.110. Delete current wording and replace with: 8.110 "The Enfield Biodiversity Action Plan, which is to be adopted during	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>target related to river restoration be included. This should be: 'New planning permissions will be monitored to achieve a target of restoring 225 meters of river a year or 1125 meters by 2015.'</p> <p>in Enfield (70.4km), this is 11.15% of the rivers in London. From this we have taken the objective in the London Rivers Action Plan (produced in 2009) of restoring 15km of river by 2015 or 2.5km each year. 11.15% of 2.5km is 225 meters each year or 1125 meters or river by 2015.</p>	<p>2010, will contain targets for biodiversity enhancements across the Borough. This will include targets for National Indicator 197 (Improved Local Biodiversity – proportion of Local Sites where positive conservation management is being achieved), the restoration of rivers in line with the London Rivers Action Plan and targets for the maintenance, enhancement and increase in area of priority habitats in line with those given in the London Plan. These and other Enfield BAP targets will be monitored and reported on through the Enfield Biodiversity Partnership."</p>		
PMC31	Core Policy 37 Central Leaside	North London	Consistent with Core	Clarification:	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
		<p>Waste</p>	<p>Policies 22 and 14, the Authority believes Core Policy 37 should make reference to the EcoPark site to reflect its status as a Preferred Industrial Location where waste management uses will be supported.</p> <p>Currently CP 37 makes reference to a number of SILs and LSISs being retained and intensified. However, the policy does not refer to the EcoPark which is identified at CP14 as a Preferred Industrial Location. The Authority therefore requests that the policy be revised to</p>	<p>Insert recommended wording for clarity and cross-referencing.</p> <p>The majority of the Central Leaside area will retain its industrial and employment character (see Core Policy 14). The Strategic Industrial Locations of Eley, Aztec 406, Montagu (the northern part), Kenninghall and Harbet Road estates, as well as the Locally Significant Industrial Sites; The Claverings Estate and the southern part of the Montagu Industrial Area, will be retained and intensified, (see Core Policy 22).</p>		

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>make reference to the EcoPark site being retained and intensified, consistent with its designation as a PIL and the proposals set out in CP 22. This amendment would ensure that there is clarity as to the status of the PIL land through out the Central Leaside area.</p> <p>The following wording is suggested: '...and the southern part of the Montagu Industrial Areas, will be retained and intensified. In line with Core Policy 22 waste management will be supported at</p>			

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			the Edmonton EcoPark site....'			
PMC32	Core Policy 43 THE AREA AROUND ENFIELD TOWN STATION	Royal Mail	Core Policy 43 refers to Picture 9.14 which details the boundary for the Enfield Town Station Place however this is not provided in the document. We therefore request that the Council confirm the Enfield Town Station Place Shaping Priority Area boundary, whether indicatively, to be determined in detail subsequently through the Enfield Town AAP.	Correction: Delete reference to picture 9.14 and replace with referencing to Map 9.7 .	N	N
PMC33	Section 10.2 Delivery Mechanisms Paragraph 10.12	British Waterways	British Waterways have been working with the North London Strategic Alliance on their plans for	Update: Add British Waterways to the list of organisations listed.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			the Upper Lea Valley, so are happy to be included in the list of partners.			
PMC34	Appendix 2	GoL	Appendix 2 sets out the relationship between the core policies and the UDP saved policies. The format used does not make clear whether this is a table that lists UDP policies superseded by core strategy policies or simply a cross referencing of the two sets of policies, especially as it appears to cover all UDP policies when it should be expected that the Core Strategy would only replace certain UDP policies and some	Clarification accepted, an additional column titled "Superseded by Core Strategy". Appendix 1 of this schedule sets out a revised table.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>would be replaced by later DPDs or AAPs. Regulation 13(5) of the 2004 regulations requires that a DPD should indicate precisely which UDP policies would be replaced upon adoption of the DPD. Therefore, before submission, the Table should be clarified so that it accords with the regulatory requirement.</p>			
PMC35	Appendix 4 Evidence Base	British Waterways	<p>The Evidence Base should also make reference to the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Town and Country Planning Association's recent publication Policy Advice Note for 	<p>Clarification:</p> <p>Insertion of relevant guidance and plans within the appropriate list of evidence base.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>Inland Waterways, July 2009 (http://www.tcpa.org.uk/pages/inland-waterways.html)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - English Heritage's recent publication "England's Historic Waterways: A working heritage - Promoting high quality waterside development" (2009 http://www.helm.org.uk/upload/pdf/HistWat.pdf?1258977969) - Department of Health's publication of the Physical Activity Plan for England "Be active, be healthy: a plan for getting the nation moving" (http://www.dh.gov.u 			

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>k/en/publicationsands tatistics/publications/ publicationspolicyand guidance/dh_094358)</p> <p>- British Waterways' document, produced in cooperation with the Metropolitan Police, "Under Lock and Quay", which offers advice on waterside design that balances the needs of the area, such as security, as part of successful redevelopments.</p> <p>(http://www.britishwaterways.co.uk/media/documents/BWL_Under_Lock_and_Quay.pdf)</p> <p>- Waterways for Tomorrow (DETR 2000)</p>			

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Waterways for Everyone (DEFRA consultation – Dec 09) - DEFRA and DTLR sponsored Inland Waterways Amenity Advisory Council (IWAAC) Good Practice Guide – Planning a Future for the Inland Waterways (Dec 2001). 			
PMC36	Appendix 4 Evidence Base	English Heritage	It is noted that the Borough side Characterisation Study is not listed as part of the evidence base.	Correction: Insert Characterisation Study into list of evidence base.	N	N
PMC37	Appendix 8 Glossary	GoL	It would be helpful if the glossary included the acronyms for SIL, IBP, PIL, LSIS .	Clarification: Additional acronyms to be inserted: SIL "Strategic Industrial Land" IBP "Industrial Business	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				Parks" PIL "Preferred Industrial Locations" LSIS "Locally Significant Industrial Sites".		

Recommended amendment to Appendix 2: Relationship to Saved UDP Policies

Proposed Minor Changes – Appendix 2: Relationship to Saved UDP Policies

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(I) EO1	Equal Opportunities	Y	9 (77)				
(I) N1-N4	Borough Structure	Y	(78)				
(I) EN1-EN6	General Environmental Considerations	Y	28-36				
(II) EN3-EN5	Advertisements			Y			Y
(II) EN6	Telecommunications			Y			
(II) EN10-EN15	Nature Conservation	Y	36	Y			
(II) EN16	Article 4 Directions		(79)				
(II) EN17-EN18	Environmental improvements		29				
(II) EN20-EN21	Vacant and under-used land	Y	15				
(II) EN23	Environmental Education						
(II) EN24-EN26	Minerals	Y	23				
(II) EN29	Waste	Y	21, 22				
(II) EN30-EN32	Pollution	Y	32				
(II) EN33	Environmental Assessment			Y			
(I) G1-G3	Green Belt	Y	33				

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(II) G1	Green Belt	<u>Y</u>	33				
(II) G5 G3-G6	Management Plan			<u>Y</u>			
(II) G7-G10	Landscape Measures		31	Y			Y
(II) G11-G19	Environmental Measures affecting New Development		28, 29	Y			
(II) G20-G21	Urban Edge		33	Y			Y
(II) G22-G24	Agriculture			Y			
(II) G25	Horticulture		33	Y			
(II) G26	Garden Centres		33	Y			
(II) G27-G28	Horsekeeping			Y			
(II) G29-G30	Lee Valley Regional Park	Y	33, 35				
(II) G31-G33	Recreation	Y	11, 34				
(II) G34-G39	Residential Development			Y			
(II) G40-G41	Horticulture/Garden Centres		32	y			
(II) G42	Livestock Units			Y			
(II) G43	Horsekeeping			Y			
(II) G44-G45	Landscape Treatment			Y			
(I) O1-O4	Open Space & Metropolitan Open Land	Y	34				

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(II) O1-O5	Metropolitan Open Land		34	Y			
(II) O6-O9	Green Chains		34	Y			
(II) O10-O18	Urban Open Space		34	Y			
(II) O19	Playing Fields		34	Y		Y	
(II) O20	Leisure Gardens (Allotments)		34	Y	Y		
(I) C1	Conservation and Townscape	Y	31				
(II) C1-C7	Archaeology and Ancient Monuments		31	Y			
(II) C8-C11	Procedures						Y
(II) C12-C14	Protection and Maintenance of Listed Buildings		31	Y			Y
(II) C16-C17	Use of Listed Buildings		31	Y			
(II) C18-C20	Historic Landscapes		31	Y			Y
(II) C21	Designation of Conservation Areas		31				Y
(II) C23-C25	Safeguarding the Environment in Conservation Areas		31	Y			Y
(II) C26-C31	Quality of Development		30	Y			Y
(II) C32-C34	Advertisements			Y			
(II) C35-39	Tree Protection			Y		Y	

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(I) GD1-GD2	General Development Considerations	Y	(80)				
(II) GD1	Land Use Compatibility			Y			
(II) GD3-GD9	Planning Standards			Y			
(II) GD12-GD13	Flooding and Surface Water Drainage	Y	28, 29				
(I) H2-H4	Housing	Y	2 - 6				
(II) H2-H6	Housing - The Existing Housing Stock		4	Y			
(II) H8-H15	Standards of Residential Development - General Standards of Residential Development		4	Y			
(II) H16	Standards of Residential Development - Conversions		4	Y			Y
(II) H18	Standards of Residential Development - Housing Needs of People with Disabilities		4	Y			
(II) H20	Housing Support Services - Accommodation for Homeless Persons			Y			
(II) H22	Housing Support Services -	Y	6, 9	Y			

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
	Special Needs						
(I) E1-E4	Employment and Economic Development	Y	12	Y			
(II) E1	Availability of Land and Labour	Y	13, 14	Y			
(II) E2-E3	Commercial and Industrial Defined Areas		14	Y			
(II) E4	Special Needs of Small Firms		14, 15	Y			
(II) E5-E7	Secondary Industrial Premises		14, 15	Y			
(II) E9	Non-Commercial and Industrial Uses		14	Y			
(II) E11	Standards of Development			Y			
(II) E12-E13	Infrastructure		20 - 29	Y			
(II) E14-E15	Environmental Safeguards			Y	Y		
(I) S1-S3	Shopping and Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S1	Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S2	Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S3	Management of the Town Centres		17, 18	Y	Y		
(II) S5-S11	Non-Retail Uses in Town Centres		17, 18	Y			

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(II) S13-S15	Local Centres		17, 18	Y			
(II) S17	Major Out-of-Centre Retail Development			Y			
(II) S18	Food and Drink Establishments			Y			
(II) S19	Design Considerations		30				Y
(II) S20-S21	Accommodation on Upper Floors			Y			
(II) S22	Access for People with Disabilities			Y			
(I) T1-T11	Transportation	Y	24 - 27	Y			
(II) T1	Land Use and Transportation	Y	24 - 27				
(II) T3-T5	Public Transport	Y	26				
(II) T6-T7	Roads - Highway Network	Y	24				
(II) T8-T12	Roads - Environmental Considerations			Y			
(II) T13-T14	Roads - Highway Improvements		24	Y			
(II) T15-T17	Pedestrians	Y	25	Y	Y		Y
(II) T19-T21	Cycling		25	Y	Y		Y
(II) T22-T23	Freight - Road Freight	Y	27	Y			

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(II) T24	Freight - Rail	Y	27				
(II) T25-T26	Freight - Waterways	Y	27	Y	Y		
(II) T27-T32	Parking			Y			
(II) T33	Safety	Y	9	Y			
(I) AR1-AR2	Arts, Recreation and Tourism		11, 12	Y			
(II) AR1-AR3	Recreation		11	Y			
(II) AR4-AR5	Arts, Culture and Entertainment		11	Y			
(II) AR6-AR7	Tourism		12	Y			
(I) CS1	Community Services	Y	7 - 10	Y			
(II) CS1-CS3	Land and Environmental Considerations			Y		Y	
(II) CS4	Day Nurseries			Y			
(II) CS5	Places of Public Worship			Y			
(II) ET1	Enfield Town - Land Uses		17, 18, 42, 43	Y			
(II) ET3-ET15	Enfield Town - Shopping Developments		17, 18, 42	Y			

APPENDIX A (Part 2) - RECOMMENDED FURTHER MINOR CHANGES SUGGESTED BY THE COUNCIL¹

(¹ These changes are only subject to a recommendation because the Council cannot change the document even, to correct minor errors without a recommendation).

Rolling Schedule of Further Changes – Post Publication Stage The Enfield – Proposed Submission Core Strategy



As At

28th JULY 2010

Rolling Schedule of Further Minor Changes (FMCs)

The Council has taken the opportunity to identify minor amendments to the Core Strategy DPD now under examination. The **FMCs do not follow** document order.

Schedule of Further Changes

Text in Bold Print and underline Proposed insertion
 Text with a strikethrough Proposed deletion

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures	Section, Paragraph, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC1	Chapter 3: Spatial vision; General & Glossary	Enfield's	<p>Replace references to community infrastructure, community facilities and social facilities, to one definition of social infrastructure.</p> <p>Redefine within the Glossary definition of Social Infrastructure:</p> <p>The range of activities, organisations, and facilities supporting the formation, development and maintenance of social relationships on a community. It includes educational, recreational, health and law and order facilities.</p> <p>Replace with: <u>Covers facilities such as health provision, early years provision, schools, colleges and universities, community, cultural, recreation and sports facilities.</u></p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 30/03/T/0

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>places of worship, policing and other criminal justice or community safety facilities, children and young people's play and informal recreation facilities. This list is not intended to be exhaustive and other facilities can be included as social infrastructure.</u></p>	
FMC 2	Strategic Objective 5	<p>Insert addition words:</p> <p><u>Strategic Objective 5: Education, health and wellbeing –</u> To ensure the capacity and quality of local social infrastructure provision including schools and further education, health <u>and policing</u> facilities, social care, retail services, leisure and recreation facilities is sufficient to meet the needs of Enfield's existing population and new residents.....</p>	<p>To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/04/T/0.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 3	Paragraph 5.96	<p>Insert addition updates:</p> <p>5.96 In 2007, the Metropolitan Police Authority produced an Asset Management Plan (AMP) (33) for Enfield which looks at the existing estate and local requirements for modern day policing. The AMP identifies that future operational needs will focus on a) delivering several police <u>facilities 'shops' that enable better public access to the police with provision for neighbourhood policing teams</u> with provision for Safer Neighbourhood Teams (34) which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible. <u>Neighbourhood police centres without a contact point do not have to be in accessible areas</u> and b) a new custody centre.</p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/05/T/0.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 4	Core Policy 17	<p>Insert addition updates:</p> <p>The Council will strengthen the role of Enfield's town centres by focusing new commercial, retail, leisure, office, residential and <u>other appropriate social infrastructure related uses, such as police facilities</u> within the centres according to the Borough's town centre hierarchy identified in Table 6.3</p>	<p>To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/06/CP17/0</p>
FMC 5	Paragraph 6.26	<p>Second and third sentences of Paragraph 6.26 – remove reference to draft PPS4 and replace with PPS4 to read as follows:</p> <p>"It is also in line with the objectives set out in the Government's draft Planning Policy Statement 4 (2009): Planning for Prosperous Economies <u>Planning Policy Statement 4 (2009): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth,</u> which stresses ... "(and)</p> <p>"Draft PPS4 asks Local Planning Authorities to consider...."</p>	<p>Update Core Strategy to reflect national guidance by removing reference to draft PPS4 and replace with PPS4</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 6	Paragraph 6.26	<p>Clarification: The Council suggest inserting additional text to paragraph 6.26 of the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The <u>Core</u> Policy 14 accords with <u>the provisions set out in the London Plan consolidated with alterations since 2004 (2008) and its</u> Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008).</p>	<p>Additional clarity is suggested by the respondent National Grid Property Holdings to ensure that the intention of the Core Strategy is in accordance with the provisions set out in the London Plan and its associated Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008).</p>
FMC 7	Paragraph 6.47.	<p>Paragraph 6.47. Delete reference to PPS6 and replace with; PPS4 policy EC3.1bi as follows:</p> <p>"The Government's Planning Policy Statement 6 (PPS6) on Planning for Town Centres (2005) 4 (PPS4): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth, identifies the situations when the designation of new centres may be appropriate. New centres may be appropriate in areas of significant growth or where there are deficiencies in the existing network of centres (with priority given to deprived areas). Enfield has four strategic growth areas where substantial new growth is</p>	<p>Amend Core Strategy to reflect advice in PPS4 which has replaced PPS6.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>anticipated, with the most significant change taking place at Meridian Water within Central Leaside.</p>	
<p>FMC 8</p>	<p>New Paragraph to follow 6.49</p>	<p>Additional paragraph to follow paragraph 6.49 - to read as follows:</p> <p><u>Heritage assets are often concentrated in town centres; PPS4 indicates that these assets if conserved and enhanced are important in promoting and sustaining town centre vitality and viability. Many of the Borough's town centres are designated in part as Conservation Areas for example, Southgate district centre and the major centre at Enfield Town. Town centre proposals which impact on heritage assets will be assessed against criteria contained in Core Policies 30 relating to maintaining the quality of the built and open environment and 31 which applies to preserving and enhancing built and landscape heritage.</u></p>	<p>Minor change proposed to the lower text accompanying Core Policy 17 to reflect the new guidance in PPS4 relating to heritage assets.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 9	Core Policy 18	<p>Amend the final sentence of the final paragraph of Core Policy 18 to read as follows:</p> <p>"If <u>If</u> it can be demonstrated <u>following an impact assessment</u> that there will not be a negative impact on <u>a)</u> the vitality and viability of existing centres, <u>b) planned investment in centres,</u> and <u>c)</u> that the development increases the overall sustainability and accessibility of the retail park in question.</p>	<p>Minor change proposed to give clarity to policy CP18 following guidance issued in PPS4.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 10	New paragraph to follow Paragraph 6.63	<p>New paragraph to follow Paragraph 6.63 – to read as follows</p> <p><u>“PPS4 requires an impact assessment for retail and leisure proposals above 2,500 square metres gross that are not in an existing centre. An impact assessment may also be required for smaller scale proposals where the nature of the proposal is likely to have a significant localised impact on a designated centre(s)”</u></p>	Minor change proposed to Core Strategy text following guidance issued in PPS4.
FMC 11	Paragraph 7.28	<p>Amend paragraph to read:</p> <p>The NLWP will ensure that sufficient land is allocated for waste management facilities capable of dealing with the combined apportionment targets for the seven boroughs: 1,514,000 1,504,000 tonnes/year by 2010; 2,005,000 1,994,000 tonnes/year by 2015 and 2,355,000 2,341,000 tonnes/year by 2020.</p>	To ensure consistency with the London Plan (2008).

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 12	New paragraph 4.5.	<p>New paragraph 4.5. To read as follows:</p> <p><u>“Chapter 9 Core Policies for Places provides more detailed information on how the Council will work with its partners to achieve growth within these areas, including the provision of necessary social, physical and green infrastructure. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan supports the Council’s approach and forms a key part of the evidence base. This evidence while looking at the whole borough, also focuses on the nature, timing and funding of infrastructure required in delivering growth in each of these areas.”</u></p>	Additional lower case text to provide clarity to Core Policy 1.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 13	New paragraph 4.6.	<p>New paragraph 4.6. To read as follows:</p> <p><u>Central Leaside, North East Enfield and the North Circular Road Strategic Growth Areas lie close to the Borough boundary. The Council will work with its partners at the sub-regional level through for example, the North London Strategic Alliance (the sub-regional strategic partnership) and at local level through the Enfield Strategic Partnership and the Area Partnerships to maximise benefits to communities. The Council will also continue the ongoing informal dialogue with adjoining boroughs and stakeholders to establish cross boundary needs arising from respective emerging Local Development Frameworks. Appendix 5 provides details of adjoining Borough policies and developments that inform this Core Strategy.</u></p>	<p>Additional lower case text to make reference to cross boundary borough needs.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 14	Spatial Strategy	<p>Clarification: The Council suggest inserting additional text to the spatial strategy.</p> <p>Spatial Strategy: Enfield's valuable reservoir of strategically important industrial land in the east of the Borough will be safeguarded and managed <u>in accordance with the London Plan and its Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008)</u> to meet the needs of modern industry in an efficient and sustainable way.</p>	<p>Additional clarity is suggested by the respondent, National Grid Property Holdings to ensure that the intention of the Core Strategy is in accordance with the provisions set out in the London Plan and its associated Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008).</p>
FMC 15	Core Policy 3	<p>The third paragraph of CP3 to be amended and the final sentence of the final paragraph of CP3 be deleted to avoid misinterpretation.</p> <p>In order to determine the precise number of affordable housing units to be delivered for each development, the Council will <u>plan for balanced and sustainable communities and</u> work with developers and other partners to</p>	<p>The overall approach and policy intent is to provide balanced communities borough-wide and not single out addressing balance within areas of high social housing.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>agree an appropriate figure, taking into consideration site-specific land values, grant availability and viability assessments, market conditions, as well as the relative importance of other planning priorities and obligations on the site. The Council will monitor the implementation of these targets and identify any need to review them via the preparation of the Annual Monitoring Report.</p> <p>The Council will aim for a borough-wide affordable housing tenure mix ratio of 70% social rented and 30% intermediate provision. In areas where there are existing high levels of social housing, it may be appropriate to seek a higher proportion of intermediate housing provision in order to create sustainable and balanced communities, in both social and economic terms.</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 16	Core Policy 4	<p>Amend the first paragraph of CP4 to read as follows:</p> <p><u>'High quality design</u> Excellence and sustainability will be required in all new homes. New housing developments should take account of the design an construction policies and sustainable design and construction guidance set out in the London Plan and should seek to exceed the Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3.....'</p>	<p>Minor change proposed following representation by National Grid Property holdings.</p>
FMC 17	Core Policy 6	<p>Amend 2nd Paragraph to Core Policy 6 to read:</p> <p><u>The Council will work to ensure that there is appropriate provision of specialist accommodation across all tenures.</u> Criteria for assessing applications for housing to meet particular needs, having regard to need and supply will be set out in the Development Management Document.</p>	<p>Additional clarity.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 18	Paragraph 5.66	<p>Recommend amended wording to paragraph 5.66:</p> <p>The infrastructure requirements set out in the above Core Policy have been based on an assessment of need informed by a) the GLA's 2007 2009 pupil projections for Enfield (28) and b) the estimated child yield arising from additional housing growth proposed within each of the strategic growth areas. The Core Policy will help to deliver the necessary primary and secondary schools to accommodate pupils arising from the levels of growth being proposed.</p>	<p>The change will bring the Core Strategy into line with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p>
FMC 19	Core Policy 17	<p>Amend the final paragraph of Core Policy 17 to read as follows:</p> <p>"The Council will work with its partners to support town centre management initiatives which enhance the vitality and viability of centres, and improve the quality of the environment, <u>and conserve and enhance the historic, archaeological and architectural heritage</u> within them.</p>	<p>Minor change proposed to give clarity to Core Policy 17 following guidance issued in PPS4.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 20	Core Policy 20	<p>Suggest inserting additional text to Core Policy 20:</p> <p>The Council will set local standards and targets, based on an understanding of local potential and opportunities for renewable or low carbon energy and existing or planned decentralised energy infrastructure. Where opportunities are identified, development will be required to contribute to realising these opportunities <u>subject to the Council and its partners undertaking further work that is required to explore the feasibility and development potential projects or strategies to take them forward</u></p>	<p>Additional clarity to ensure that the intention of the Policy is subject to detailed feasibility work.</p>
FMC 21	Spatial Strategy, Paragraph 2 under "Improving Quality of Life"	<p>Amend Spatial Strategy, Paragraph 2 under "Improving Quality of Life"</p> <p>Sufficient waste management facilities will be retained and safeguarded to ensure Enfield plays its part alongside six other North London boroughs in dealing with north London's waste and developing the North London Joint Waste Plan. The Edmonton EcoPark is ideally</p>	<p>NLWA requests the Spatial Strategy be revised to confirm the position in relation to the EcoPark. To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/01/T/0.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>located to continue to play a strategic role in waste management. New more sustainable waste movement and treatment processes will be supported on the site <u>including the future decommissioning of the incinerator.</u> following the end of the current incinerator's lifespan.</p>	
FMC 22	Core Policy 30	<p>Core Policy 30: Amend the 2nd bullet as follows:</p> <p>Build on <u>and extend</u> work of the Enfield Characterisation Study to investigate wider urban design issues, and identify: ".....</p> <p>And amend the 4th sub bullet as follows:</p> <p>Areas appropriate, <u>inappropriate and sensitive to</u> for tall buildings, including consideration of the strategic growth areas located in the Upper Lee Valley Opportunity Area. <u>These areas will be mapped and policies will be developed as part of the Development Management DPD.</u></p>	<p>To clarify the Council's commitment to further work, and to specify where this work will take place.</p> <p>To more accurately reflect the advice of the English Heritage/CABE Guidance on Tall Buildings (2007).</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 23	Paragraph 8.25	<p>Amend the penultimate sentence of paragraph 8.25 as follows:</p> <p><u>"However, these benefits may equally be achieved through other means and the suitability of these areas for tall buildings may depend on the provision of sufficient transport infrastructure and a more detailed analysis of the potential impacts on their surroundings, including local character, in accordance with Policy 4B.1 of the London Plan"</u></p> <p>Amend the final sentence of paragraph 8.25 as follows:</p> <p><u>Therefore, the suitability for areas appropriate, sensitive or inappropriate for tall buildings will be considered in the relevant area action plan Development Management DPD, and more detailed work will be conducted to inform proposals in relevant area action plans and. This will be informed by the work set out above.</u></p>	<p>Remove ambiguity regarding approach to tall buildings and clarify approach to setting out the framework for appropriate, inappropriate and sensitive to tall buildings in line with the English Heritage/CABE Guidance on Tall Buildings and the London Plan.</p> <p>Clarify approach to Upper Lea Valley Opportunity Area</p> <p>More closely reflect the requirements of the London Plan.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 24	Paragraph 8.42	<p>Amend paragraph 8.42 as follows:</p> <p>The number of assets on English Heritage's Heritage at Risk Register will be monitored and the Council will work with partners to reduce the number of buildings <u>assets</u> at risk in the Borough."</p>	<p>Clarification needed that the Council will work with partners to reduce any asset identified on the register, such as buildings at risk.</p>
FMC 25	Core Policy 38	<p>Amend the final paragraph of CP38 to read as follows:</p> <p>A coordinated strategy to managing flood risk in accordance with Core Policy 28 and a co-ordinated strategy for the decommissioning of surplus gas holders and other contaminated land in the area in line with Core Policy 32.'</p>	<p>Minor change proposed to following representation by National Grid Property holdings.</p>
FMC 26	Core Policy 31	<p>Amend the 4th bullet point as follows:</p> <p>Ensuring developments in areas of archaeological importance take into account the potential for new finds by requiring consultation with English Heritage and on-site investigations, <u>including the appropriate recording and dissemination of</u></p>	<p>EH recommends that the 4th bullet of the policy requires the recording appropriate dissemination of archaeological evidence</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>archaeological evidence.</u>	
FMC 27	Core Policy 41	<p>Amend Core Policy 41, 3rd bullet as follows:</p> <p>High quality new development that complements the historic buildings and features <u>heritage assets and historic environment</u> of Ponders End, such as the listed Middlesex University building in Ponders End Central and Ponders End Flour Mill at Ponders End Waterfront.</p>	Replace “historic buildings and features” with “Heritage Assets and the Historic Environment”
FMC 28	Core Policy 42	<p>Amend Core Policy 42, 1st paragraph as follows:</p> <p>The Council will seek to retain Enfield Town’s market town character and protect its heritage assets, <u>their setting and the historic environment</u>, whilst maximising development opportunities to</p>	Expand reference to heritage assets to heritage assets and their settings.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures	Section, Paragraph, Further Minor Change	Reason
		enhance the retail function of the centre to better serve the retail and community needs of the Borough and beyond.	
FMC 29	Paragraph 7.45	<p>Add a further bullet point to paragraph 7.45 as follows:</p> <p>The Core Strategy seeks to address the following key transport issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Provide a framework by which transport proposals are appropriate to their context, complement local character and are designed in accordance with the principles set out in Core Policy 30.</u> 	Greater emphasis that transport proposals to be of quality design that respects the local context and character.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 30	Paragraph 2.68	<p>Amend Paragraph 2.68 as follows:</p> <p>Enfield has much in common with other outer London boroughs, but its rich diversity and distinctiveness arises from its particular location and topography, landownership patterns and its response to the social, economic and political changes that have affected Greater London. One of its main distinguishing characteristics is the contrast between the parkland setting to the north west and the industrial band to the east, arising from its topography. Others include a significant number of important historic buildings, estates, parks and gardens; a network of former village centres; a network of rivers and waterways; groupings of 19th Century housing that arrived with the railway and inter-war housing that arrived with the Underground. <u>Many of these features are important and valued heritage assets. Just one example of this is provided by the Borough's</u> 21 conservation areas, reflecting early country estates, old town and village centres,</p>	Greater emphasis on environmental context.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>nineteenth century railway-centred development, the Borough's industrial past and other important local townscapes. For much of the rest of the Borough, good urban environments enhance residents' quality of life. But in other areas former land uses or patterns of development have resulted in poor quality environments. Factors such as traffic and parking congestion, the decline in local and independent retail facilities and the effects of air and noise pollution contribute to a deteriorating quality of life for some residents.</p>	
FMC 31	<p>Chapter 2 Key Issues New Bullet Point</p>	<p>Insert additional bullet point under Key Issues.</p> <p><u>"Promote the protection and enhancement of the Borough's waterways by improving water quality and ecological diversity through the River Basin Management Plan."</u></p>	<p>Environment Agency recommends reference be made to improving water quality and ecological diversity.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 32	Chapter 2 Key Issues Bullet point 8	<p>Insert additional words in bullet point 8:</p> <p>“Protecting, enhancing and improving access to Enfield’s green and open areas, <u>including enhancing biodiversity and linking habitats via wildlife corridors</u> particularly in areas deficient in open space in the east and south of the Borough”.</p>	<p>Environment Agency recommend that bullet 8 goes beyond green spaces and include enhancing biodiversity and the linking of habitats via wildlife corridors to allow for migration as climate changes.</p>
FMC 33	Chapter 2 Key Issues Bullet point 13	<p>Insert additional wording to bullet point 13:</p> <p>Responding to the local causes and impacts of climate change and addressing other environmental issues likely to affect Enfield by, for example, responding to flood risk in the Upper Lee Valley, <u>land contamination associated with historic industrial uses particularly in the east of the Borough</u>, developing the Council’s sustainable design and construction policies, securing sustainable utility infrastructure provision for future developments, and actively planning with the other North London boroughs to provide</p>	<p>Environment Agency: Environmental quality in general is not mentioned as a key issue. We suggest that this could be merged with the point above to include land contamination.</p> <p>In addition this section does not identify the need to manage the effects of historic industrial use for the protection of human health, controlled waters and other important receptors as a key issue.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		sufficient land in the sub region to deal with waste;	
FMC 34	Strategic Objective 4	<p>Insert additional word:</p> <p>To ensure new residential development is supported by good public transport, social, green and utilities infrastructure and achieve the maximum intensity of use having regard to development plan policy.</p>	Reference to green infrastructure.
FMC 35	Strategic Objective 9	<p>Insert addition word:</p> <p>Natural environment - To protect and enhance Enfield's natural heritage by retaining the open character of the Borough, safeguarding the green belt and other open space and developing the wider network of green infrastructure. To meet the deficiencies in open spaces that</p>	Meet representations made by the Environment Agency.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>exists in the east and south of the Borough and improve access to green areas and waterways <u>(i.e. through River Restoration projects)</u> particularly for the communities close to the Lee Valley Regional Park.</p> <p>We support this objective and again suggest that reference is made to green infrastructure. We recommend that the last sentence of the objective be amended as follows:</p>	
FMC 36	Strategic Objective 10	<p>Insert additional words:</p> <p>Built environment - Use a design-led approach to developments and places, <u>while addressing historic land contamination,</u> to promote a step-change in the quality of the built environment and public realm, creating safe and accessible environments and improve urban greening with tree planting and landscaping. To maximise the contribution that heritage assets and existing features make, enhance local distinctiveness and identity, and create safer, stronger communities.</p>	<p>To meet Environment Agency recommendation that the section titled 'Improving Quality of life' makes reference to contaminated land remediation, ecological enhancement and the Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 37	<p>Spatial Strategy 1st paragraph under 'Improving quality of life':</p>	<p>Insert additional words in 1st paragraph under 'Improving quality of life':</p> <p>.....'Parts of the Upper Lee Valley are at medium to high risk from flooding and comprehensive redevelopment of the core areas will provide opportunities <u>to remediate contaminated land</u>, renew <u>and enhance</u> existing flood defences <u>and rivers</u>, thereby supporting the objectives of the Environment Agency's Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan <u>and meet objectives of the Water Framework Directive, River Basin Management Plan.</u></p>	<p>Recommendation by EA that makes reference to contaminated land remediation, ecological enhancement and the Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan.</p>
FMC 38	<p>Paragraph 7.17</p>	<p>Insert additional wording</p> <p>7.17 The London Plan notes that water is an increasingly scarce resource for which there is rising demand. Hotter drier summers due to climate change combined with the predicted growth in Enfield's population may increase overall water demand, whilst reducing</p>	<p>Recommendation by EA to include additional words to recognise implications of peak rainfall events and increased development.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		availability <u>and placing further pressure on water quality.</u> Higher intensity rainfall events <u>and increased development</u> are also likely to increase <u>surface run-off,</u> foul and storm water discharge and make it more difficult to retain rainfall for utilisation.	
FMC 39	Core Policy CP28	Insert after the 2 bullet points within the policy: <u>"The Sequential Approach, Exception Test , and all other requirements of PPS25 will still need to be applied to individual developments"</u>	Recommendation by EA that the policy should contain some recognition that, where appropriate, compliance of part c of the Exception Test is required in order for developments to be considered appropriate from a flood risk perspective.
FMC 40	Core Policy CP32	Insert additional text in 2nd bullet point within the policy: Ensure that water quality will not be compromised, and to secure, where appropriate, improvements to water quality. The Council will work with partners, particularly the Environment Agency, to seek improvements to the water environment <u>in adherence with</u>	Recommendation by EA that 2 nd bullet point needs to be strengthened to say that the Council will be working towards achieving good ecological status in all water bodies in adherence with the Water Framework Directive and as per the programme of measures set within the Thames River Basin Management Plan.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>the Water Framework Directive and as per the programme of measures set within the Thames River Basin Management Plan.</u>	
FMC 41	Core Policy CP35	Insertion within 1st sentence of CP35 The Council will work with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority, British Waterways, riparian owners <u>the Environment Agency</u> and other partners to:.....	The Environment Agency should be stated as a partner in this section.
FMC 42	Core Policy CP37	Insertion within final sentence of CP37 Opportunities will be taken to improve, <u>restore</u> , and open up access to the Lee Valley Regional Park and waterfront through promoting opportunity areas along the Borough's eastern boundary.:.....	EA recommend the last sentence of this policy is amended to include the word 'restore'.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 43	Core Policy CP38	Insertion of new bullet points within CP38 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Restoration of the waterways which run through the development site as part of an integrated approach to water management in Meridian Water.</u> ▪ <u>Development should achieve efficiency target of 105 l per person per day in line with the Code for Sustainable Homes.</u> 	EA recommendation that a bullet point is included to ensure the restoration of all waterways which run through the development site. In addition EA recommend that a bullet point referencing water efficiency target of 105 l per person per day is included as a minimum.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 44	Table 10.2	Insertion of additional measure under: 32. Pollution. Percentage of Contaminated land brought back into beneficial use.	EA recommendation that table 10.2 include measure or indicator for the amount of Contaminated land brought back into beneficial use.
FMC 45	Spatial Strategy	Insert a new paragraph under <u>"Implementation"</u> <u>A holistic approach will be adopted to ensure that the delivery of new housing, education, community infrastructure, employment and transport improvements in an area are considered as a whole rather than being planned for and provided independently of each other. Delivery of social, economic, and physical change can only be achieved by building social and economic capital. The Council will work with a range of partners including the public, private and third sector to deliver the strategy, and will help to</u>	In response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>build the capacity amongst partners including the voluntary sector to deliver change.</u>	
FMC 46	Table 5.1	In Table 5.1 supporting CP2 Housing Supply include reference to “(area including New Southgate)” within North Circular housing supply figures.	To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation.
FMC 47	Core Policy 3	In order to determine the precise number of affordable housing units to be delivered for each development, the Council will <u>plan for balanced and sustainable communities and</u> work with developers and other partners to agree an appropriate figure, taking into consideration site-specific land values, grant availability and viability assessments, market conditions, as	To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>well as the relative importance of other planning priorities and obligations on the site. The Council will monitor the implementation of these targets and identify any need to review them via the preparation of the Annual Monitoring Report.</p> <p>The Council will aim for a borough-wide affordable housing tenure mix ratio of 70% social rented and 30% intermediate provision. In areas where there are existing high levels of social housing, it may be appropriate to seek a higher proportion of intermediate housing provision in order to create sustainable and balanced communities, in both social and economic terms</p>	
FMC 48	Core Policy 9	<p>Insertion of new bullet point to CP9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supporting area based policy interventions relating to the place shaping agenda which seek to tackle social disadvantage; • Promoting accessibility 	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>whereby all members of the community have access to good quality health care, housing, education and training, employment, open space and other social facilities in locations that best serve the community;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contributing towards reducing crime, fear of crime and anti-social behaviour by using design principles that create environments which promote community safety and discourage offending, in accordance with Core Policy 30; and • Actively encouraging participation by all members of the community in planning and decision making processes • <u>Requiring the provision of necessary community facilities to support local need within the delivery of Core Policies 37- 45.</u> 	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 49	Core Policy 40	<p>Insertion of additional text at the end of 2nd paragraph in CP40.</p> <p>Across the North East Enfield area, there is scope to develop 1,000 new homes (see Core Policy 2 on Housing Supply and Locations for New Homes). The focus for change and development, and hence the majority of these new homes, will be in Ponders End (see Core Policy 41 on Ponders End below), where there is a string of key opportunity sites along an east-west axis. In the west, in the heart of Ponders End local centre, there is a cluster of sites including the former Middlesex University campus, adjacent Queensway employment area, land around Tesco and sites along Hertford Road, referred to as "Ponders End Central". In the middle, along South Street, there are opportunities at the gasholder site on South Street, around Ponders End railway station, and at Alma Estate. This collection of sites is referred to as "Ponders End South Street Campus". In the east, near the Lee Valley Regional Park, development opportunities</p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>exist at Columbia Wharf and at the southern part of Brimsdown, collectively referred to as "Ponders End Waterfront". <u>The interdependencies of these sites mean that their future should be considered and planned for in a holistic way to ensure that the cumulative social, economic and transport impacts are thoroughly assessed.</u></p>	
FMC 50	Core Policy 40 New Paragraph	<p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 3 of CP40.</p> <p><u>The Council will work with the Enfield Strategic Partnership to Develop an Area Partnership for wider North East Enfield and bring forward a Single Implementation Plan.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 51	New Paragraph 9.42	<p>Insert new paragraph after 9.41 within the "Implementation" section supporting CP40.</p> <p><u>Working closely with Enfield Strategic Partnership, the Council is to establish a wider Area Partnership that will collectively begin to establish a vision and a Single Implementation Plan to coordinate place shaping in the wider North East Enfield area. The Area Partnership will maximise the synergies between liveability and as well as physical regeneration initiatives.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>
FMC 52	Core Policy 42	<p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 4 in CP42.</p> <p><u>An Area Partnership will be brought forward to ensure the widest success for Enfield Town. The Area Partnership will take Responsibility for a Single Implementation Plan.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 53	Core Policy 43	<p>Insert new paragraph after 9.52 within the "Implementation" section supporting CP43.</p> <p><u>Working closely with Enfield Strategic Partnership, the Council is to establish a wider Area Partnership that will collectively begin to establish a vision and a single Implementation Plan to coordinate place shaping in Enfield Town. The Area Partnership will maximise the synergies between liveability and as well as physical regeneration initiatives.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>
FMC 54	Core Policy 44 New Paragraph	<p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 1 of CP44 as follows:</p> <p><u>The Council will work with the Enfield Strategic Partnership to Develop an Area Partnership for wider North East Enfield and bring forward a collective vision and single Implementation Plan.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 55	New Paragraph 9.62	<p>Insert new paragraph after 9.61 within the "Implementation" section supporting CP45.</p> <p><u>Working closely with Enfield Strategic Partnership, the Council is to establish a wider Area Partnership that will collectively begin to establish a vision and a single Implementation Plan to coordinate place shaping in the wider North East Enfield area. The Area Partnership will maximise the synergies between liveability and as well as physical regeneration initiatives.</u></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>
FMC 56	Core Policy 38	<p>Reference to Figure 9.4 should be corrected to state 'Map 9.3'</p>	<p>Minor correction in response to National Grid Property Holdings representation.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 57	Paragraph 8.68	<p>Clarification:</p> <p>The Council Development Management DPD will set out criteria for the review and undertake a detailed <u>review</u> of green belt boundaries in accordance with PPG2 and the local character. This work will inform the Development Management DPD.</p>	<p>At hearing session Day 1 the Council agreed to provide additional clarity on the future review of the green belt boundaries.</p>
FMC 58	Core Policy 7, new paragraph to follow paragraph 5.61	<p>Additional paragraph to follow paragraph 5.61 - to read as follows:</p> <p><u>Provision of primary care and adult health and social care will be the subject of ongoing discussions with the PCT and other health care providers as a means to establish future requirements. Any changes to health care provision will be highlighted in updates of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Council's Annual Monitoring Report.</u></p>	<p>Additional lower text to add clarity to the policy.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 59	CP40: North East Enfield	<p>Core Policy 40:</p> <p>Social and community infrastructure to support an expanding population will be accommodated. This includes a large new health practice in Innova Park and the expansion of Eagle House surgery in Ponders End (see Core Policy 7). A new Academy will be developed in Ponders End, replacing Albany secondary school, and allowing the Albany School site to be developed for residential or continued educational uses, depending on the Council's future education requirements (see Core Policy 8). The local retail centres of Ponders End, Enfield Highway and Enfield Wash will be enhanced to improve the shopping experience. Sites will be identified in Ponders End to accommodate retail uses in accordance with Core Policies 17 and 18. <u>Enhanced access to and quality of recreation and leisure opportunities will also be promoted.</u></p>	<p>To address representation raised by British Waterways reference: 2/22/CP40/3.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 60	Table 10.1	<p>Proposed change to Table 10.1:</p> <p>"Green Infrastructure" column re-titled to include "Blue" infrastructure.</p> <p>Amend "Green Infrastructure" column (6-10years phase) to read:</p> <p>Improve access to <u>and enhance quality of</u> Lee Valley Regional Park <u>and Waterways</u></p> <p>Amend "Green Infrastructure" column (11-15 years phase) to read:</p> <p>Improve access to <u>and enhance quality of</u> Lee Valley Regional Park <u>and Waterways</u></p>	<p>To address representation raised by British Waterways reference: 2/24/T/2.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 61	Core Policy 21:	<p>Additional text to Core Policy 21:</p> <p><u>In order to improve water quality in the Borough</u>, during the life of this Plan, Thames Water Utilities Ltd plan to improve / redevelop Deephams Sewage Treatment Works.</p>	To address representation raised by Thames Water reference: 47/03/CP21/2.
FMC 62	Paragraph 8.49	<p>change to paragraph 8.49:</p> <p>Water quality can be improved through a number of measures including the effective design, construction and operation of sewerage systems <u>and sewage treatment plant</u>.</p>	To address representation raised by Thames Water reference 47/06/CP32/2.
FMC 63	Core Policy 22	<p>Amended text to CP22:</p> <p>Continuing to support the use of Edmonton EcoPark as a strategic waste site and working with the NLWA and London Waste the site <u>operator to maximise the use of the site with more sustainable and efficient</u> secure a new more sustainable and efficient use of the site after the current incinerator is</p>	To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/02/CP22/0.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>decommissioned- <u>waste management processes including the future decommissioning of the current incinerator....</u></p>	
FMC 64	Core Policy 37	<p>Insert additional text:</p> <p>The majority of the Central Leaside area will retain its industrial and employment character (see Core Policy 14). The Strategic Industrial Locations of Eley, Aztec 406, Montagu (the northern part), Kenninghall and Harbet Road estates, as well as the Locally Significant Industrial Sites; The Claverings Estate and the southern part of the Montagu Industrial Area, will be retained and intensified. <u>Waste management will be supported at the Edmonton EcoPark site in line with Core Policy 22....</u></p>	<p>To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/03/CP37/0.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 65	<p>Core Policy 23 paragraphs 7.40, 7.42 and new paragraph after 7.42</p>	<p>Minor changes proposed to both supporting text and Core Policy.</p> <p>7.40 <u>It is understood that</u> workable land-based reserves of aggregates in Enfield are largely depleted. There may be potential for dredging sand and gravel from the King George V Reservoir, but the feasibility of extraction is currently unknown.</p> <p>CORE POLICY 23</p> <p>AGGREGATES The Council will continue to work with its partners, including the London Aggregates Working Party, to identify potential sources of aggregates in the Borough. These sources will be protected providing that exploitation can be shown to be both feasible and desirable. (for example, when considered against the environmental impact of such operations).</p> <p>Justification 7.41 Initial indications from Thames Water are that there are no plans for</p>	<p>At hearing session Day 1 the Council agreed to provide additional clarity to overcome concerns raised by Lee Valley Regional Park Authority in reference to the King V Reservoir and environmental considerations.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>the extraction of aggregates from the King George V reservoir, but they have been unable to confirm this and the impact of such operations upon the environmental qualities of the site, which is a Site of Special Scientific Interest, would need to be carefully assessed. There are no other known potential sources of aggregates within the Borough.</p> <p>Implementation 7.42 The Council will continue to engage with the London Aggregates Working Party regarding potential aggregate resources in the Borough. The Council will also continue to engage with Thames Water <u>and the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority</u> to establish the <u>acceptability</u> of aggregate extraction in the King George V Reservoir. <u>This will be assessed against a range of matters which include the need to consider the degree of impact on the wildlife of the reservoir given its designations as a SSSI and the wider amenity of the Regional Park.</u></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>Should further aggregate resources be identified in the Borough, the feasibility of extraction would be assessed against a number of considerations including national and regional planning policy guidance, an adequate and steady supply of minerals to meet demand and the impact of operations on the environment, local character and amenity .If proven, these sites will be identified on the Proposals Map and protected through policies in the Development Management Document.</u></p>	
FMC 66	Core Policy 38	<p>Insert new text within 1st sentence of policy and delete text in brackets in 2nd bullet point as follows:</p> <p>In <u>Based on the evidence of initial growth scenarios</u> in the Meridian Water Place Shaping Priority Area (see boundary in Map 9.3 Figure 9.4), the objectives of new development will be to create a new</p>	<p>At hearing session Day 2 the Council agreed to provide a FMC to overcome concerns of the need for clarity and flexibility in terms of the principles established in the policy, as raised by La Salle Investment Management.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>community by 2026 with up to 5,000 new homes, 1,500 new jobs and all the necessary infrastructure to support the community and attract families and new employers to the area, including:</p> <p>2nd bullet point...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Approximately 80% of the area should comprise a mix of residential, retail, community uses and open spaces, with 20% of the area (no less than 5.5ha) as revitalised employment uses, integrated into the wider development; 	
FMC 67	Core Policy 14	<p>Reference relating to Montagu Industrial Area</p> <p>Name of industrial area Montagu Industrial Area (northern section) including Kenninghall Estate and Rail Track Land</p> <p>Area of site to the nearest hectare 12 10 ha</p>	<p>In light of recommendations from the Employment Land Study Update report (2009), and following discussions between the Council and the GLA in terms of reviewing SIL boundaries, it has been recommended that the area should be retained for employment uses, but the southern part (area covered by the former rail sidings at Rays Road and Kenninghall Estate) could potential be considered as part of a comprehensive masterplan for Meridian Water.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 68	Core Policy 14: Safeguarding Strategic Industrial Locations	<p>Reference relating to Harbet Road (Lee Valley Trading Estate) within CP14 to read:</p> <p>Name of industrial area: Harbet Road <u>Industrial Area</u> (<u>covering</u> Lee Valley Trading Estate, <u>Hastingwood Trading Estate and Stonehill Business Park</u>)</p>	Consistency with the Employment Land Studies
FMC 69	Core Policy 14	<p>Reference relating to: Great Cambridge Road Industrial Area and Martinbridge Trading Estate</p> <p>Name of industrial area Great Cambridge Road Industrial Area and Martinbridge Trading Estate</p> <p>Replace with the following</p>	Consistency with the London Plan. Only part of Martinbridge Trading Estate is to be retained as IBP

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		Great Cambridge Road (part) and Martinbridge Trading Estate (part)	
FMC 70	Core Policy 14	<p>Reference relating to: Total: 311*</p> <p>Total: 311 309*</p> <p>* this measurement is the gross employment floorspace area, excluding main roads and amenity areas.</p>	<p>The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area has been excluded from SIL, but included as LSIS.</p> <p>To provide clarity.</p>
FMC 71	Core Policy 15	<p>Reference relating to The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area to read:</p> <p>Replace with: The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area (includes the former rail sidings at Rays Road and Kenninghall Estate)</p>	To provide clarity.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 72	Core Policy 15	<p>Reference relating to: Footnote 40: Replace with:</p> <p>Further details of the review of Strategic Industrial Locations is set out in Enfield's review of Employment Designations – Further Background to the Submission Core Strategy (December 2009 May 2010)</p>	Report was updated and agreed in May 2010.
FMC 73	Glossary	<p>Glossary to include the following definition:</p> <p><u>Third Sector: A term used to describe the collection of non-governmental organisations that are value driven and principally reinvest their surpluses to further social, environmental or cultural objectives. This includes voluntary and community organisations, charities, social enterprises, cooperatives and mutuals and housing associations.</u></p>	Definition provided for clarity.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 74	Foreword	<p>Replace all text with the following.</p> <p><u>By Councillor Del Goddard Lead Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities</u></p> <p><u>Enfield Council has had a longstanding commitment to produce a document that sets out the Council's overall ambitions and aspirations for Enfield's future between now, 2026 and beyond. This document is known as the Core Strategy. It is the lead document within the Council's New Local Development Framework which will replace the existing Unitary Development Plan.</u></p> <p><u>With this adopted Core Strategy there comes greater confidence in facilitating the delivery of sustainable communities through coordinating social, economic, physical and green infrastructure. This document marks a significant milestone that enables the Council to put into practise its vision for a</u></p>	Change in Lead Member.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>prosperous and sustainable borough.</u></p> <p><u>Our LDF is built on a thorough understanding of the local challenges and opportunities facing Enfield. It is informed by extensive research, feasibility studies and the participation of local communities and others who have a stake in the future of the borough. This means we have strong evidence based policies to guide the creation of new homes, jobs and services essential to support Enfield's growing communities and improve the quality of life for existing residents.</u></p> <p><u>Enfield's Core Strategy focuses change in the areas of the borough that need it most – the south and east. It also aims to protect and enhance those parts of the borough which already offer a good quality of life to residents. The Council has a community leadership role to play and is working with our</u></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>partners in the private, public and third sectors to deliver a programme of transformational change. Changes that will really make a difference to the lives of the people who live, work and study in Enfield, making sure that everyone has access to the same opportunities and can really see improvements in their quality of life.</u></p> <p><u>This Core Strategy gives us certainty over development in the borough over the coming years and is based on a shared understanding of our commitments and priorities, and those of our partners, for the long term. Many issues cross borough boundaries and this Core Strategy and other LDF documents will help facilitate joint working with neighbouring authorities.</u></p> <p><u>The strategy also provides the flexibility to respond to current and future social and economic challenges and puts us in a</u></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Paragraph, Policy, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>strong position to take the fullest advantage of investment opportunities in Enfield as well strengthening our role in the opportunity area of the Upper Lee Valley and North London as a whole.</u></p>	
FMC 75	Introduction	<p>Changes to the Introduction section of the document.</p> <p>1 Introduction</p> <p>1.1 Enfield has much to offer - our cultural diversity, heritage, proximity to Central London and to open countryside make it a good place to live, work, study and do business. Enfield is growing and changing, our population and diversity is increasing but so too is the gap between the prosperous and deprived neighbourhoods of the Borough. This represents a major challenge for the Borough and one which will guide the strategies and plans of the Council</p>	Update the document post pre-submission and submission stage.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>and its partners in planning for Enfield's future.</p> <p>1.2 Enfield's Sustainable Community Strategy was revised by the Enfield Strategic Partnership in 2009. The Partnership is made up of a wide spectrum of local public, private, voluntary and community organisations including the Council, police and health providers. The Partnership is committed to making Enfield a healthy, prosperous and cohesive community living in a borough that is safe, clean and green, through social progress that recognises everyone's needs, effective protection of the environment, prudent use of natural resources, and promoting economic growth and employment opportunities for Enfield residents.</p> <p>1.3 The Partnership has adopted a proactive place shaping approach to deliver this commitment. Place shaping is about making the whole of Enfield a place of choice where people choose to live, work, learn and do business now and in the</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>future. The Council is preparing a Local Development Framework (LDF) which will provide the long-term spatial vision, policies and implementation programmes to deliver this commitment over the next 20 years. This means planning now for good quality new homes, shops and businesses, improved transport systems, and better employment opportunities. It means planning to conserve the Borough's attractive built heritage areas, parkland and open landscapes whilst enhancing the environment elsewhere to bring it up to the standard of the best. The LDF, together with the London Plan, will comprise the development plan for the Borough and will replace the Council's Unitary Development Plan (UDP).</p> <p>1.1 Enfield's Local Development Framework</p> <p>1.4 The Council is required to prepare the LDF by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Enfield's LDF will contain the</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>following documents, the most important of which is the Core Strategy which sets out the Council's strategy for planning in Enfield and provides the context for more detailed documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Core Strategy; ▪ Proposals Map; ▪ Sites Schedule; ▪ Enfield Design Guide; ▪ Development Management Document; ▪ Enfield Town Area Action Plan and Masterplan for the area around Enfield Town station; North East Enfield Area Action Plan, ▪ Ponders End Framework for Change and three Planning Briefs for the key sites in Ponders End; ▪ Central Leaside Area Action Plan and Masterplan for Meridian Water; ▪ North Circular Area Action Plan and Masterplan for New Southgate; and ▪ North London Joint Waste Plan. 	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>1.5 The relationship between these documents is set out in Appendix 1. Further details on the content, role and production timetable for each of them is set out in the Council's Local Development Scheme (1). Under the terms of the Act, most of the UDP's policies have been retained and will remain valid until they are superseded by the relevant parts of new LDF documents. The relationship between existing UDP policies and new LDF documents, and the UDP policies which are superseded by this Core Strategy are set out in Appendix 2.</p> <p>1.2 About this document</p> <p>1.6 This Core Strategy sets out a spatial planning framework for the long term development of the Borough for the next 15 to 20 years. It is a strategic document providing the broad strategy for the scale and distribution of development and the provision of supporting infrastructure, ensuring that investment decisions are not made in</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>isolation but are properly coordinated to ensure development is sustainable. It contains core policies for delivering the spatial vision, guiding patterns of development and is supported by other development plan documents within the LDF such as area action plans and a waste plan.</p> <p>1.7 The Council has been working in partnership with other infrastructure providers such as the Primary Care Trust and Transport for London in order to identify the range of physical, social, and green infrastructure required to facilitate the development set out in this Core Strategy, particularly in the strategic growth areas. Alongside the Core Strategy, the Council has prepared is preparing an Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which sets out the infrastructure required to support future housing provision and predicted population growth in the Borough, with details of when, where and by whom the infrastructure will be delivered. A summary of the core infrastructure phasing is provided in</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>Chapter 10.</p> <p>1.8 The Core Strategy has evolved following earlier consultations on the key issues and options for the Borough in 2007 and the Council's preferred options in 2008. The Issues and Options Report looked at the problems, challenges, opportunities, issues and the potential options to deal with them. The Preferred Options Report took this further and set out the Council's suggested strategic spatial planning policies. Further consultation on the preferred options for strategic growth areas in the Borough in 2009 helped to refine the strategy. <u>Pre-submission consultation took place in December 2009 and the Council formally submitted the Core Strategy in March 2010. Public hearings sessions were held in June and July and the Inspector's binding report was received on the ?? The Council adopted the Core Strategy at Full Council on the 10th November 2010. This adopted Core Strategy</u> Submission version</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>reflects a continuous 5 year process and</u> has taken account of the extensive responses received during consultation on these previous stages and sets out the Council's spatial strategy for the Borough for the next 15 to 20 years.</p> <p>1.9 Each stage has been informed by an independent sustainability appraisal to evaluate the social, economic and environmental effects of the suggested options and final preferred strategy. An Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) has been undertaken to ensure that the policies and proposals within the Report do not discriminate against specific groups within Enfield's communities. The report has also been subject to an Appropriate Assessment (also known as a Habitats Regulations Assessment) to ensure that the Core Strategy does not adversely impact upon nature conservation sites of European Importance (the Natura 2000 network and Ramsar sites). The LDF is also informed by a number of technical studies which contribute to</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>the evidence underpinning the Core Strategy and other documents. Further information on the evidence base is set out in Appendix 4.</p> <p>1.10 The final Core Strategy will be <u>is</u> accompanied by <u>a fully interactive, on-line Proposals Map in addition to a paper format Proposals Map</u> illustrating the boundaries of the various designations set out in the main body of the Report. The designations to be shown on the Proposals Map are set out in the illustrative maps in Appendix 7. Once the Submission Core Strategy is found to be sound it will be adopted and supported by a fully interactive, on-line Proposals Map in addition to a paper format.</p> <p>The Structure of this Report</p> <p>1.11 Chapter 2 contains a brief description of the national, regional and local policy and guidance which inform the Core Strategy. It then summarises the context for this report, giving a spatial overview of Enfield highlighting the key strategic</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>planning issues.</p> <p>1.12 Chapter 3 "Enfield Spatial Strategy", puts forward the Council's spatial vision, strategic objectives and a spatial strategy for Enfield.</p> <p>1.13 Chapters 5 to 8 set out policies for specific themes such as housing, economic development, the physical environment and travel. Chapter 9 sets out more detailed policies for strategic growth areas in the Borough and the Council's place shaping priority areas.</p> <p>1.14 Chapter 10 looks at how the Core Strategy will be delivered and monitored.</p> <p>1.3 How to make representations on this document</p> <p>1.15 This Core Strategy Submission Report and its accompanying Sustainability Appraisal are available to view at the following locations:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enfield's Public Libraries ▪ The Civic Centre, Enfield 	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>Town:</p> <p>1.16 The documents are also available to view on the Council's website at: www.enfield.gov.uk/core-strategy</p> <p>1.17 Representations are invited relating to issues of soundness on this document. To be <i>sound</i> a core strategy should be justified, effective and consistent which national policy. <i>Justified</i> means that the document must be founded on a robust and credible evidence base and is the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives. <i>Effective</i> means that the document must be deliverable, flexible and able to be monitored.</p> <p>1.18 Representations can be made from Monday 14th December 2009 until Friday 29th January 2010. We would encourage you to send us your comments using the Council's on-line consultation system. On-line consultation is the easiest and most convenient way to make your comments. To access this system;</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>view the document and submit your comments please use the following link:- http://consult.enfield.gov.uk/portal</p> <p>1.19 Alternatively, you may also make your comments in writing and send them to:-</p> <p>The Planning Policy Team Place Shaping and Enterprise Department London Borough of Enfield Civic Centre Silver Street Enfield EN1 3XA Tel: 020 8379 1634 Or Fax: 020 8379 3887 Or email: ldf@enfield.gov.uk</p> <p>1.20 Please also remember to complete and return the equal opportunities monitoring form.</p> <p>1.21 The closing date for comments is Friday 29th January 2010.</p> <p>1.22 Please note that all comments</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>made on the Core Strategy Submission Report will be made available for public inspection.</p> <p>What happens next 1.23 Following this period the Council will submit the Core Strategy to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government who will arrange for it to have an independent examination. It is anticipated that this will take place in Spring 2010.</p>	
FMC 76	Appendix 5 Table 5.1	<p>Update table in several places.</p> <p>Page 184 Update column 1: Under Information Source:</p> <p>Hertsmere Borough Council Core Strategy (December 2009) <u>Submission 2009 (Withdrawn)</u></p> <p>Update table columns on page 185 London Borough of Haringey</p> <p>Update Column 1: Information Source:</p>	Update status of adjoining borough's policies & developments.

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>Core Strategy Preferred Options Proposed Submission 2010</u></p> <p>Update Column 2: Relevant Polices and Development:</p> <p>Tottenham Hale and Haringey Heartlands are identified as the key growth areas, where future growth will be directed.</p> <p>Central Leaside is identified as a key growth area, with the majority lying within Enfield and a portion extending over Enfield's boundary to the south, to include employment land within Haringey.</p> <p>Major development is also proposed <u>at Northumberland Park as part of the overall investment in</u> Tottenham Hotspurs Football Ground which includes redevelopment of the stadium, retail, leisure and residential uses.</p> <p>Update Column 3: Cross Borough Implications:</p> <p>Development proposed in Haringey,</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Tables, Figures, Section, Paragraph,	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>in particular in the Northumberland Park area (which relates most closely to the Enfield border) will have cross borough issues</u> for Enfield in terms of the transport network, and in the provision of green, community and physical infrastructure, for which a co-ordinated approach will enable the timely and efficient delivery of development, infrastructure and services.</p> <p>A high quality station square and state of the art public transport interchange at Tottenham Hale is proposed.</p> <p>TfL are working with Haringey Council proposing to return the Tottenham Hale Gyratory to two way working. Consultation on this project is expected to commence in October 2009.</p> <p>Update table page 186</p> <p>Update column 1: Under Information Source</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Policy, Section, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>Under London Borough of Waltham Forest</p> <p>Core Strategy Issues & Options (June 2008) <u>Preferred Options (January 2010)</u></p> <p>Update Column 2: Relevant Polices and Developments Update:</p> <p>The Core Strategy Preferred Options Issues and Options Report was published in January 2010 June 2008 and although at an early stage in its production, and states that the focus for future development will be Blackhorse Lane, Walthamstow Town Centre, and the Northern Olympic Fringe.</p> <p>A strategic employment area is identified in the west of the Borough, within the Lea Valley, to provide for future employment growth.</p>	

